UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/602,343	06/23/2003	Kotaro Kashiwa	450100-04606	2023
7590 11/10/2009 FROMMER LAWRENCE & HAUG LLP 745 FIFTH AVENUE			EXAMINER	
			JONES, HEATHER RAE	
NEW YORK, NY 10151			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2621	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/10/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comments	10/602,343	KASHIWA, KOTARO				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	HEATHER R. JONES	2621				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 Ju</u>	ilv 2009					
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	action is non-final.					
		secution as to the merits is				
) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
ologod in accordance with the practice and in	x parte gadyle, 1000 C.D. 11, 10	.0.0.210.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7,15-45,58-72,74,75,77 and 78</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7,15-45,58-72,74,75,77 and 78</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
· · · · ·	election requirement					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>23 June 2003 and 25 June 2007</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the						
Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
TT/ The battor declaration is objected to by the Ex-	animer. Note the attached office	Addon of format 10-102.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
·						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Notice of Informal Patent Application						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:						

Art Unit: 2621

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed July 2, 2009 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The Applicant argues that Miyazaki et al. fails to disclose automatically terminating recording video image data for a particular one of the plurality of takes after a time period based on the timeline set in the template for the scene. The Examiner respectfully disagrees. Miyazaki et al. discloses in Fig. 17 the subroutine of their image capturing process that involves continuous capturing. As can be seen in Fig. 17 the images start being captured in step 210 and continues through to step 214 where it is determined whether or not the preset time has elapsed. If it has not then the camera continues capturing images. If the preset time has elapsed then the camera stops capturing images and it is determined whether or not the operator got the desired amount of images they wanted. If not, then the routine starts all over and if they had then the process goes back out to the main routine where further editing is done to the images (col. 14, line 51 - col. 15, line 7). However, the main idea that Miyazaki et al. is being referenced for is the idea of automatically terminating the image capturing process once the preset time has been terminated. Furthermore, when Miyazaki et al. is combined with Seki et al. in view of Foreman et al. in view of Shore et al. the preset value can come from the timeline determined from the plurality of

Art Unit: 2621

templates. Therefore, Miyazaki et al. meets the claimed limitation and the rejection is maintained.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-7, 15-45, 58-72, 74, 75, 77, and 78 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Seki et al. (U.S. Patent 7,154,534) in view of Foreman et al. (U.S. Patent Application Publication 2001/0040592) in view of Shore et al. (U.S. Patent 6,353,461) in view of Miyazaki et al. (U.S. Patent 6,546,187).

Regarding claim **1**, Seki et al. discloses a content project creating method comprising the steps of: selecting a template from a plurality of templates, each template containing a setting of a scene arrangement of a plurality of scenes of content (Figs. 37 and 89; col. 29, lines 40-51; col. 31, lines 17-32); producing scene setting data for a scene included in the template selected in the selecting step by setting details of the scene using existing material data or newly created data (col. 30, lines 29-40); and outputting content project data constructed by managing the edited scene setting data on the basis of the scene arrangement set in the template (col. 30, line 65 – col. 31, line 5). However, Seki et al. fails to disclose enabling the editing of the selected template to alter the number of

scenes in the template; providing for recording video image data for each of a plurality of takes of a particular scene; automatically terminating recording video image data for a particular one of the plurality of takes after a time period based on the timeline set in the template for the scene; displaying for selection the plurality of takes of the particular scene; selecting one of the displayed plurality of takes for the particular scene; and subsequently editing the scene setting data; wherein the template is a scene arrangement sequence for the plurality of scenes set in advance for a story structure of the video content and prior to editing, and wherein the template includes a timeline set prior to editing for each scene and audio setting based on the timeline.

Referring to the Foreman et al. reference, Foreman et al. discloses a content project creating method comprising providing templates; enabling the editing of the selected template to alter the number of scenes in the template (Fig. 5; paragraphs [0044]-[0046] – adding and deleting scenes from the storyboard (template)); and subsequently editing the scene setting data; wherein the template is a scene arrangement sequence for the plurality of scenes set in advance for a story structure of the video content and prior to editing, and wherein the template includes a timeline set prior to editing for each scene and audio setting based on the timeline (Fig. 5; paragraph [0040]).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have provided templates depicting scene arrangement sequences for the plurality of scenes set in advance for a story

structure of the video content as disclosed by Foreman et al. with the templates disclosed by Seki et al. in order create personalized video presentations that tell a story and to allow the user filming the story to have a clear idea of what to shoot, how to shoot, and for how long. However, Seki et al. in view of Foreman et al. still fail to explicitly disclose providing for recording video image data for each of a plurality of takes of a particular scene; automatically terminating recording video image data for a particular one of the plurality of takes after a time period based on the timeline set in the template for the scene; displaying for selection the plurality of takes of the particular scene; and selecting one of the displayed plurality of takes for the particular scene.

Referring to the Shore et al. reference, Shore et al. discloses a content recording project creating method comprising providing for recording video image data for each of a plurality of takes of a particular scene; displaying for selection the plurality of takes of the particular scene; and selecting one of the displayed plurality of takes for the particular scene (Fig. 3;—col. 1, lines 42-49).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have provided several takes for a particular scene and allowing the user to select the most appropriate one as disclosed by Shore et al. in the method disclosed by Seki in view of Foreman et al. in order to allow the user to obtain the feel, look, emotion, timing, attitude, or other characteristic they are going for in that particular scene. However, Seki et al. in view of Foreman et al. in view of Shore et al. still fail to disclose that the method

automatically terminates recording video image data for a particular one of the plurality of video takes after a time period based on the timeline set in the template for the scene.

Referring to the Miyazaki et al. reference, Miyazaki et al. discloses automatically terminates recording video image data for a particular scene based on the preset time (Fig. 17).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art the time the invention was made to have preset a time limit on the recording of the video images as disclose by Miyazaki et al. in the method disclosed by Seki et al. in view of Foreman in order to correspond the recording of the scenes to the time allotted on the storyboard for that particular scene, thereby alleviating the extra editing required to scale back an excess amount of time of the recording.

Regarding claim **2**, Seki et al. in view of Foreman et al. in view of Shore et al. in view of Miyazaki et al. discloses all the limitations as previously discussed with respect to claim 1, as well as the method further comprising the step of setting details of audio in accordance with the scene arrangement set in the template or in association with each of the scenes (Foreman et al.: Figs. 5 and 12; paragraphs [0040] and [0066]).

Regarding claim 3, Seki et al. in view of Foreman et al. in view of Shore et al. in view of Miyazaki et al. discloses all the limitations as previously discussed with respect to claim 1 as well as the method further comprising the step of setting details of image processing in accordance with the scene arrangement

Application/Control Number: 10/602,343

Art Unit: 2621

set in the template or in association with each of the scenes (Seki et al.: Figs. 37 and 89; col. 29, lines 40-51; col. 31, lines 17-32).

Page 7

Regarding claim **4**, Seki et al. in view of Foreman et al. in view of Shore et al. in view of Miyazaki et al. discloses all the limitations as previously discussed with respect to claim 1, including the step of changing the scene arrangement set in the template (Foreman et al.: Figs. 5 and 9; paragraphs [0040], [0057], and [0058]).

Regarding claim **5**, Seki et al. in view of Foreman et al. in view of Shore et al. in view of Miyazaki et al. discloses all the limitations as previously discussed with respect to claim 1 including that in the content project data outputting step, the content project data is read (Seki et al.: col. 30, line 65 – col. 31, line 5).

Regarding claim **6**, Seki et al. in view of Foreman et al. in view of Shore et al. in view of Miyazaki et al. discloses all the limitations as previously discussed with respect to claim 1, including that in the content project data is recorded on a recording medium (Fig. 2; paragraph [0036]).

Regarding claim 7, Seki et al. in view of Foreman et al. in view of Shore et al. in view of Miyazaki et al. discloses all the limitations as previously discussed with respect to claim 1 including that the content project data outputting step, the content project data is transmitted (Seki et al: col. 30, lines 65-67).

Regarding claims **15-21**, these are program recording medium claims corresponding to the method claims 1-7. Therefore claims 15-21 are analyzed

Application/Control Number: 10/602,343

Art Unit: 2621

and rejected as previously discussed with respect to claims 1-7. Furthermore, the computer disclosed by Seki et al. has a CPU that would store the program.

Page 8

Regarding claim 22, Seki et al. discloses an imaging apparatus comprising: imaging means (102) for capturing an image and generating a video image signal; processing means (115) for processing the video image signal; obtaining means (109) for obtaining content project data in form of a template selected from a plurality of templates, each template including scene setting data for each scene included in a scene arrangement of a plurality of scenes of content; display control means for displaying details of the content project data on a display device (104); and imaging control means (112) for controlling selection of a scene of the content project data, the capturing of the image by the imaging means, and the processing of the video image signal by the processing means (col. 11, line 45 – col. 13, line 61). However, Seki et al. fails to disclose template editing means for editing of the selected template to alter the number of scenes in the template; recording means for recording video image data for each of a plurality of takes of a particular scene; displaying means for displaying the plurality of takes of the particular scene; selecting means for selecting one of the displayed plurality of takes for the particular scene; and subsequently editing the scene setting data; wherein the template is a scene arrangement sequence for the plurality of scenes set in advance for a story structure of the video content and prior to editing, and wherein the template includes a timeline set prior to editing for each scene and audio setting based on the timeline; and wherein the

Application/Control Number: 10/602,343

Art Unit: 2621

imaging means controls the imaging means to automatically terminate capturing the image for a particular one of the plurality of takes after a time period based on the timeline set in the template for the scene.

Page 9

Referring to the Foreman et al. reference, Foreman et al. discloses a content project creating method comprising providing templates; template editing means for editing of the selected template to alter the number of scenes in the template (Fig. 5; paragraphs [0044]-[0046] – adding and deleting scenes from the storyboard (template)); and subsequently editing the scene setting data; wherein the template is a scene arrangement sequence for the plurality of scenes set in advance for a story structure of the video content and prior to editing, and wherein the template includes a timeline set prior to editing for each scene and audio setting based on the timeline (Fig. 5; paragraph [0040]).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have provided templates depicting scene arrangement sequences for the plurality of scenes set in advance for a story structure of the video content as disclosed by Foreman et al. with the templates disclosed by Seki et al. in order create personalized video presentations that tell a story and to allow the user filming the story to have a clear idea of what to shoot, how to shoot, and for how long. However, Seki et al. in view of Foreman et al. still fail to disclose recording means for recording video image data for each of a plurality of takes of a particular scene; displaying means for selecting one of

Art Unit: 2621

the displayed plurality of takes for the particular scene; and wherein the imaging means controls the imaging means to automatically terminate capturing the image for a particular one of the plurality of takes after a time period based on the timeline set in the template for the scene.

Referring to the Shore et al. reference, Shore et al. discloses a content recording project creating method comprising recording means for recording video image data for each of a plurality of takes of a particular scene; displaying means for displaying the plurality of takes of the particular scene; and selecting means for selecting one of the displayed plurality of takes for the particular scene (Fig. 3;—col. 1, lines 42-49).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have provided several takes for a particular scene and allowing the user to select the most appropriate one as disclosed by Shore et al. in the method disclosed by Seki in view of Foreman et al. in order to allow the user to obtain the feel, look, emotion, timing, attitude, or other characteristic they are going for in that particular scene. However, Seki et al. in view of Foreman et al. in view of Shore et al. still fail to disclose that the imaging means controls the imaging means to automatically terminate capturing the image for a particular one of the plurality of takes after a time period based on the timeline set in the template for the scene.

Art Unit: 2621

Referring to the Miyazaki et al. reference, Miyazaki et al. discloses automatically terminates recording video image data for a particular scene based on the preset time (Fig. 17).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art the time the invention was made to have preset a time limit on the recording of the video images as disclose by Miyazaki et al. in the method disclosed by Seki et al. in view of Foreman in order to correspond the recording of the scenes to the time allotted on the storyboard for that particular scene, thereby alleviating the extra editing required to scale back an excess amount of time of the recording.

Regarding claim 23, Seki et al. in view of Foreman et al. in view of Shore et al. in view of Miyazaki et al. discloses all the limitations as previously discussed with respect to claim 22 including that the processing means records the video image signal on a recording medium, and the imaging apparatus further comprises: management information updating means for updating management information for the content project data so that the video image signal captured by the imaging means and recorded on the recording medium by the processing means while the scene of the content project data is selected is allocated to the scene arrangement of the content project data (Seki et al.: Fig. 35).

Regarding claim **24**, Seki et al. in view of Foreman et al. in view of Shore et al. in view of Miyazaki et al. discloses all the limitations as previously discussed with respect to claim 22 as well as the apparatus further comprising

Art Unit: 2621

communication means for communicating with an outside, wherein the processing means transmits the video image signal from the communication means, and wherein the imaging control means transmits, upon transmission, from the communication means, of the video image signal captured by the imaging means while the scene of the content project data is selected, information on the selected scene (Seki et al.: col. 12, lines 53-55).

Regarding claim **25**, Seki et al. in view of Foreman et al. in view of Shore et al. in view of Miyazaki et al. discloses all the limitations as previously discussed with respect to claims 22 and 23, including that the obtaining means obtains the content project data recorded on the recording medium placed on the processing means (Foreman et al.: Fig. 2; paragraph [0036]).

Regarding claim **26**, Seki et al. in view of Foreman et al. in view of Shore et al. in view of Miyazaki et al. discloses all the limitations as previously discussed with respect to claim 22 including that the obtaining means obtains the content project data recorded on a recording medium differing from the recording medium placed on the processing means (Seki et al.: col. 12, lines 51-60).

Regarding claim **27**, Seki et al. in view of Foreman et al. in view of Shore et al. in view of Miyazaki et al. discloses all the limitations as previously discussed with respect to claim 22 as well as the apparatus further comprising communication means for communicating with an outside, wherein the obtaining means obtains the content project data received by the communication means (Seki et al.: col. 12, lines 53-55).

Art Unit: 2621

Regarding claim **28**, Seki et al. in view of Foreman et al. in view of Shore et al. in view of Miyazaki et al. discloses all the limitations as previously discussed with respect to claim 22 including that the display control means displays the scene setting data associated with the selected scene on the display device, the displayed scene setting data serving as the details of the content project data (Seki et al.: Figs. 37 and 89; col. 29, lines 40-51; col. 31, lines 17-32).

Regarding claim 29, Seki et al. in view of Foreman et al. in view of Shore et al. in view of Miyazaki et al. discloses all the limitations as previously discussed with respect to claim 22 including that upon capturing the image by the imaging means while the scene of the content project data is selected, the display control means displays, on the display device, the scene setting data associated with the selected scene and the video image signal generated by the imaging means (Seki et al.: Fig. 29).

Regarding claim **30**, Seki et al. in view of Foreman et al. in view of Shore et al. in view of Miyazaki et al. discloses all the limitations as previously discussed with respect to claims 22 and 23 including that the display control means displays, on the display device, a video image that includes the video image signal allocated by the management information updating means to the scene arrangement of the content project data and that is based on the content project data (Seki et al.: Fig. 29).

Art Unit: 2621

Regarding claim **31**, Seki et al. in view of Foreman et al. in view of Shore et al. in view of Miyazaki et al. discloses all the limitations as previously discussed with respect to claims 22 and 23 including that the imaging control means sets the execution time for the imaging means to capture the image and for the processing means to record the video image signal on the recording medium while the scene of the content project data is selected on the basis of scene time information included in the content project data (Seki et al.: Figs. 37 and 89; col. 29, lines 40-51; col. 31, lines 17-32).

Regarding claim **32**, Seki et al. in view of Foreman et al. in view of Shore et al. in view of Miyazaki et al. discloses all the limitations as previously discussed with respect to claims 22 and 24 including that the imaging control means sets the execution time for the imaging means to capture the image and for the processing means to record the video image signal on the recording medium while the scene of the content project data is selected on the basis of scene time information included in the content project data (Seki et al.: Figs. 37 and 89; col. 29, lines 40-51; col. 31, lines 17-32).

Regarding claim **33**, Seki et al. in view of Foreman et al. in view of Shore et al. in view of Miyazaki et al. discloses all the limitations as previously discussed with respect to claims 22 and 23 as well as the apparatus further comprising editing means for editing the video image signal captured by the imaging means and recorded on the recording medium by the processing means

while the scene of the content project data is selected (Seki et al.: col. 30, lines 49-57).

Regarding claims **34-45**, these are method claims corresponding to the apparatus claims 22-33. Therefore, claims 34-45 are analyzed and rejected as previously discussed with respect to claims 22-33.

Regarding claims **58-69**, these are program recording medium claims corresponding to the apparatus claims 22-33. Therefore claims 58-69 are analyzed and rejected as previously discussed with respect to claims 22-33. Furthermore, the computer disclosed by Seki et al. has a CPU that would store the program.

Regarding claims **70-72**, these are system claims comprising claims 1 and 22-24. Therefore, claims 70-72 are analyzed and rejected as previously discussed with respect to claims 1 and 22-24.

Regarding claim **74**, Seki et al. in view of Foreman et al. in view of Shore et al. in view of Miyazaki et al. discloses all the limitations as previously discussed with respect to claim 1, including that the time period is slightly longer than the time period based on the timeline (Miyazaki et al.: Fig. 17 – any preset time can be allotted, going slightly over the time period is purely a design choice that would ensure getting the full amount of video for the allotted time).

Regarding claim **75**, Seki et al. in view of Foreman et al. in view of Shore et al. in view of Miyazaki et al. discloses all the limitations as previously discussed with respect to claims 1 and 74, including that the time period is

Art Unit: 2621

approximately 10 seconds longer than the time period based on the timeline (Miyazaki et al.: Fig. 17 – any preset time can be allotted, going slightly over the time period is purely a design choice that would ensure getting the full amount of video for the allotted time).

Regarding claim 77, Seki et al. in view of Foreman et al. in view of Shore et al. in view of Miyazaki et al. discloses all the limitations as previously discussed with respect to claim 22, including that the time period is slightly longer than the time period based on the timeline (Miyazaki et al.: Fig. 17 – any preset time can be allotted, going slightly over the time period is purely a design choice that would ensure getting the full amount of video for the allotted time).

Regarding claim **78**, Seki et al. in view of Foreman et al. in view of Shore et al. in view of Miyazaki et al. discloses all the limitations as previously discussed with respect to claims 22 and 77, including that the time period is approximately 10 seconds longer than the time period based on the timeline (Miyazaki et al.: Fig. 17 – any preset time can be allotted, going slightly over the time period is purely a design choice that would ensure getting the full amount of video for the allotted time).

Conclusion

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to HEATHER R. JONES whose telephone number is (571)272-7368. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. - Thurs.: 7:00 am - 4:30 pm, and every other Fri.: 7:00 am - 3:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thai Tran can be reached on 571-272-7382. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

Art Unit: 2621

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Heather R Jones Examiner Art Unit 2621

HRJ November 6, 2009

/Thai Tran/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2621